UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/645,476  | 08/20/2003    | Peter Wanat          | HAV 301             | 8005             |
| 50488 7590 02/06/2008<br>ALLEMAN HALL MCCOY RUSSELL & TUTTLE LLP<br>806 SW BROADWAY |               |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |               |                      | . HSU, RYAN         |                  |
| SUITE 600<br>PORTLAND.  | OR 97205-3335 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,   |               |                      | 3714                |                  |
|   |               |                      | MAII DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |               |                      | MAIL DATE           |                  |
|   | •             |                      | 02/06/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary  | 10/645,476  | WANAT, PETER   |  |  |  |
| interview Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | RYAN HSU  | 3714   |  |  |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO   | personnel):   |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Ryan Hsu.  | (3) <u>Mark Allerman</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) <u>John Hotaling</u> .   | (4)   |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 29 January 2008.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Type: a)⊠ Télephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   | 2) applicant's representative   | e]   |  |  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:  | e) No   | x *  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.   | g) was not reached. h) h  | N/A  |  |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:  | I nature of what was agreed to  | if an agreement was  |  |  |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.   | copy of the amendments that v   |  |  |  |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet. | e last Office action has already<br>OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT`<br>FERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | been filed, APPLICANT IS<br>Y DAYS FROM THIS<br>WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO |  |  |  |
| Evaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is an   | SOHN M. HOTAVI<br>PRIMARY EXAM  | INER   |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action  | Examiner's signature, if requi  | red  |  |  |  |

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: The applicant's representative and Examiner discussed the prior art of Fall Out 2 and Baldur's Gate 2 with respect to the commandability of NPC's and how the prior art references were found and evidenced by the game. A brief overview about the evolution of "squad" games were discussed and examples were cited with respect to how the prior art encompassed the claims of the instant invention. In the interview, it was agreed that it would be beneficial for both sides to familiarize themselves with the games used as evidence of prior art before discussing again so that it could be determined whether or not the applicant's invention differed from the prior art of record.